arXiv:0903.5150v1 [math.DG] 30 Mar 2009

A TOPOLOGICAL SPLITTING THEOREM FOR WEIGHTED ALEXANDROV SPACES

KAZUHIRO KUWAE AND TAKASHI SHIOYA

Abstract. Under an infinitesimal version of the Bishop-Gromov relative volume comparison condition for a weighted Hausdorff measure on an Alexandrov space, we prove a topological splitting theorem of Cheeger-Gromoll type. As a corollary, we prove an isometric splitting theorem for Riemannian manifolds with singularities of nonnegative (Bakry-Emery) Ricci curvature.

1. Introduction

A main purpose of this paper is to prove a splitting theorem of Cheeger-Gromoll type for singular spaces. Since it is impossible to define the Ricci curvature tensor on Alexandrov spaces, we consider an infinitesimal version of the Bishop-Gromov volume comparison condition as a candidate of the conditions of the Ricci curvature bounded below. Under the volume comparison condition for a weighted Hausdorff measure on an Alexandrov space, we prove a topological splitting theorem. As a corollary, we prove an isometric splitting theorem for an Alexandrov space whose regular part is a smooth Riemannian manifold of nonnegative (Bakry-Emery) Ricci curvature.

Let us present the volume comparison condition. For a real number κ, we set

$$s_\kappa(r) := \begin{cases} \sin(\sqrt{\kappa}r)/\sqrt{\kappa} & \text{if } \kappa > 0, \\ r & \text{if } \kappa = 0, \\ \sinh(\sqrt{|\kappa|}r)/\sqrt{|\kappa|} & \text{if } \kappa < 0. \end{cases}$$

The function s_κ is the solution of the Jacobi equation $s_\kappa''(r)+\kappa s_\kappa(r) = 0$ with initial condition $s_\kappa(0) = 0$, $s_\kappa'(0) = 1$.

Let M be an Alexandrov space of curvature bounded from below locally and set $r_p(x) := d(p,x)$ for $p, x \in M$, where d is the distance function. For $p \in M$ and $0 < t \le 1$, we define a subset $W_{p,t} \subset M$ and a map $\Phi_{p,t} : W_{p,t} \to M$ as follows. We first set $\Phi_{p,t}(p) := p \in W_{p,t}$. A point x ($\neq p$) belongs to $W_{p,t}$ if and only if there exists

---

Date: March 30, 2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C20, 53C21, 53C23.

Key words and phrases. splitting theorem, Ricci curvature, Bishop-Gromov inequality.

The authors are partially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 19540220 and 20540058 from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

$y \in M$ such that $x \in py$ and $r_p(x) : r_p(y) = t : 1$, where py is a minimal geodesic from p to y. Since a geodesic does not branch on an Alexandrov space, for a given point $x \in W_{p,t}$ such a point y is unique and we set $\Phi_{p,t}(x) := y$. The triangle comparison condition implies the local Lipschitz continuity of the map $\Phi_{p,t} : W_{p,t} \to M$. We call $\Phi_{p,t}$ the *radial expansion map.*

Let μ be a positive Radon measure with full support in M, $N \geq 1$ a real number, and $\Omega \subset M$ a subset. The following is an infinitesimal version of the Bishop-Gromov volume comparison condition for μ corresponding to the condition of the lower Ricci curvature bound $\mathrm{Ric} \geq (N-1)\kappa$ with dimension N.

Infinitesimal Bishop-Gromov Condition $\mathrm{BG}(\kappa, N)$ for μ on Ω: For any $p \in M$, $t \in (0,1]$, and any measurable function $f : M \to [0, +\infty)$ with the property $(*)$ below, we have

$$\int_M f \circ \Phi_{p,t}(y)\, d\mu(y) \geq \int_M \frac{t\, s_\kappa(t\, r_p(x))^{N-1}}{s_\kappa(r_p(x))^{N-1}}\, f(x)\, d\mu(x).$$

$(*)$ f has compact support in $\Omega \setminus \{p\}$ and if $\kappa > 0$, then its support is contained in the open metric ball $B(x, \pi/\sqrt{\kappa})$ centered at x of radius $\pi/\sqrt{\kappa}$.

We say that μ satisfies $\mathrm{BG}(\kappa, N)$ if it satisfies $\mathrm{BG}(\kappa, N)$ on $\Omega = M$.

For an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold, the Riemannian volume measure satisfies $\mathrm{BG}(\kappa, n)$ if and only if the Ricci curvature satisfies $\mathrm{Ric} \geq (n-1)\kappa$ (see Theorem 3.2 of [22] for the 'only if' part). We see some studies on similar (or same) conditions to $\mathrm{BG}(\kappa, N)$ in [5, 34, 14, 15, 13, 32, 22, 39] etc. $\mathrm{BG}(\kappa, N)$ is sometimes called the Measure Contraction Property and is weaker than the curvature-dimension (or lower N-Ricci curvature) condition, $\mathrm{CD}((N-1)\kappa, N)$, introduced by Sturm [35, 36] and Lott-Villani [19] in terms of mass transportation. For a measure on an Alexandrov space, $\mathrm{BG}(\kappa, N)$ is equivalent to the $(\kappa/(N-1), N)$-measure contraction property introduced by Ohta [22]. For an n-dimensional Alexandrov space of curvature $\geq \kappa$, the n-dimensional Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}^n$ on M satisfies $\mathrm{BG}(\kappa, n)$ (see [13]). Note that we do not necessarily assume M to be of curvature *uniformly* bounded below. We assume the Alexandrov curvature condition just for the local regularity of the space. If an Alexandrov space M has a measure μ satisfying $\mathrm{BG}(\kappa, N)$, then the dimension of M is less than or equal to N (Corollary 2.7 of [22]).

One of our main theorems is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1 (Topological Splitting Theorem)**.** *Let M be an Alexandrov space of curvature bounded from below locally and $V : M \to \mathbb{R}$ a continuous function. Assume that for any compact subset $\Omega \subset M$ there exists a real number $N_\Omega \geq 1$ such that the measure $d\mu(x) := e^{-V(x)}\, d\mathcal{H}^n(x)$ satisfies* $\mathrm{BG}(0, N_\Omega)$ *on Ω. If, in addition, M contains a* *straight line, then M is homeomorphic to $X \times \mathbb{R}$ for some metric space X.*

The condition for μ in the theorem is strictly weaker than $\mathrm{BG}(0, N)$ for μ on M for some $N \geq 1$ and is for Corollary 1.4 below. Note that $\mathrm{BG}(0, N_\Omega)$ in this theorem can be replaced with the curvature dimension condition.

This theorem is new even if M is a complete Riemannian manifold, since the weight function e^{-V} is only continuous. We do not know if the isometric splitting in the theorem is true, i.e., if M is isometric to $X \times \mathbb{R}$ for some Alexandrov space X even in the case where V is constant. If we replace '$\mathrm{BG}(0, N_\Omega)$' with 'curvature ≥ 0', then the isometric splitting was proved by Milka [21], Grove-Petersen [10], and Yamaguchi [41], as a generalization of the well-known Toponogov splitting theorem. For n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds with Riemannian volume measure, $\mathrm{BG}(0, n)$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{Ric} \geq 0$ and the isometric splitting under $\mathrm{Ric} \geq 0$ was proved by Cheeger-Gromoll [6]. In our case, we do not have the Weitzenböck formula, so that we cannot obtain the isometric splitting at present.

A rough idea of our proof came from that of Cheeger-Gromoll [6]. One of essential points in our proof is to prove a generalized version of the Laplacian comparison theorem (Proposition 3.1), where our discussion is much different from the Riemannian case.

If the metric of M has enough smooth part, we prove the isometric splitting. For we consider the following.

Definition 1.2. M is called a *singular Riemannian space* if the following (1)–(3) are satisfied.

(1) M is an Alexandrov space of curvature bounded below locally.
(2) The set S_M of singular points is a closed set in M.
(3) The set $M \setminus S_M$ of non-singular points is an (incomplete) C^2 Riemannian manifold.

Note that any complete Riemannian orbifold is a singular Riemannian space.

Corollary 1.3. *Let M be an n-dimensional singular Riemannian space. If the Ricci curvature satisfies $\mathrm{Ric} \geq 0$ on $M \setminus S_M$, then M is isometric to $X \times \mathbb{R}^k$, where X is a singular Riemannian space containing no straight line and $k := n - \dim X$.*

If M is a complete Riemannian orbifold, then Corollary 1.3 was proved by Borzellino-Zhu [2].

We next consider the Bakry-Emery Ricci curvature. Let n be an integral number with $n \geq 1$, and N a real number with $N > n$, or $N = +\infty$. On an n-dimensional C^2 Riemannian manifold with a measure $d\mu(x) = e^{-V(x)}\, d\,\mathrm{vol}(x)$, where V is a C^2 function and vol the

Riemannian volume measure, the *N-dimensional Bakry-Emery Ricci curvature tensor* $\mathrm{Ric}_{N,\mu}$ is defined by

$$\mathrm{Ric}_{N,\mu} := \begin{cases} \mathrm{Ric} + \mathrm{Hess}\, V - \frac{dV \otimes dV}{N-n} & \text{if } n < N < +\infty, \\ \mathrm{Ric} + \mathrm{Hess}\, V & \text{if } N = +\infty. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 1.4. *Let M be an n-dimensional singular Riemannian space, N a number with $n < N \leq +\infty$, and $V : M \to \mathbb{R}$ a continuous function which is of C^2 on $M \setminus S_M$. We assume that $\sup_M V < +\infty$ if $N = +\infty$. If the Bakry-Emery Ricci curvature satisfies $\mathrm{Ric}_{N,\mu} \geq 0$ on $M \setminus S_M$ for $d\mu(x) := e^{-V(x)}\, d\,\mathrm{vol}(x)$, then M is isometric to $X \times \mathbb{R}^k$ and V is constant on $\{x\} \times \mathbb{R}^k$ for each $x \in X$, where X is a singular Riemannian space containing no straight line and $k := n - \dim X$.*

Corollary 1.4 is an extension of the results of Lichnerowicz [17] (see also [40] and [9]) for complete Riemannian manifolds. In the case where $N = +\infty$, the assumption $\sup_M V < +\infty$ is necessary as was pointed out by Lott [18] (see also [40]).

Remark 1.5.

1. All the results in this paper are true even in the case where M has non-empty boundary. We implicitly assume the Neumann boundary condition on the boundary of M when we consider the Laplacian on M. In particular, the results hold for any convex subset of M.
2. We can apply Corollaries 1.3 and 1.4 to get some results for the fundamental group of a singular Riemannian space of non-negative (Bakry-Emery) Ricci curvature (cf. [6]). However, we do not know if we can obtain the same results for an Alexandrov space satisfying the infinitesimal Bishop-Gromov condition. One of the problems is that we cannot prove that a covering space inherits the infinitesimal Bishop-Gromov condition. Another problem is that the splitting is only homeomorphic. If the space splits as $X \times \mathbb{R}$ homeomorphically, then we do not know if X is an Alexandrov space or not, and we cannot apply our splitting theorem to X. This is not enough to investigate the fundamental group.
3. In our previous paper [16], we proved a Laplacian comparison theorem and a splitting theorem weaker than those in this paper. The proof in this paper is much easier than that in [16]. We decided [16] to be unpublished to any journals.

2. PRELIMINARIES

A *geodesic space* is defined to be a metric space in which any two points x and y can be joined by a length-minimizing curve whose length is equal to the distance between x and y. Let M be a proper geodesic space, where '*proper*' means that any bounded subset of M is relatively compact. We call a locally (resp. globally) length-minimizing curve in

M a *geodesic* (resp. a *minimal geodesic*). Denote by $M^2(\kappa)$ a complete simply connected 2-dimensional space form of constant curvature κ. For three different points $x, y, z \in M$ and a real number κ, we denote by $\tilde{\angle}_\kappa xyz$ the angle between a minimal geodesic from $\tilde{y}$ to $\tilde{x}$ and a minimal geodesic from $\tilde{y}$ to $\tilde{z}$ for three points $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z} \in M^2(\kappa)$ such that $d(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = d(x, y)$, $d(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) = d(y, z)$, and $d(\tilde{z}, \tilde{x}) = d(z, x)$, where d is the distance function. $\tilde{\angle}_\kappa xyz$ is uniquely determined only if either the following (1) or (2) is satisfied.

(1) $\kappa \le 0$.
(2) $\kappa > 0$ and $d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(z, x) < \pi/\sqrt{\kappa}$.

A proper geodesic space M is said to be an *Alexandrov space* (*of curvature bounded below locally*) if for any point $x \in M$ there exists a neighborhood U of x and a real number κ such that for any different four points $p, q_1, q_2, q_3 \in U$ we have

(T) $\tilde{\angle}_\kappa q_i p q_j$, $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, are all defined and satisfy

$$\tilde{\angle}_\kappa q_1 p q_2 + \tilde{\angle}_\kappa q_2 p q_3 + \tilde{\angle}_\kappa q_3 p q_1 \le 2\pi.$$

For a given point $x \in M$, we denote by $\underline{\kappa}(x)$ the supremum of such κ's. Then, $\underline{\kappa}(x)$ is upper semi-continuous in $x \in M$, so that $\underline{\kappa}$ is bounded from below on any compact subset of an Alexandrov space. The globalization theorem states that for any compact subset Ω of an Alexandrov space M, there exists a compact set $\Omega' \supset \Omega$ such that (T) holds for any different $p, q_1, q_2, q_3 \in \Omega$ and for any real number κ with $\kappa \le \inf_{x \in \Omega'} \underline{\kappa}(x)$, provided that M is not a 1-dimensional Riemannian manifold. For a constant κ, we say that M is of *curvature* $\ge \kappa$ if (T) holds for any different four points $p, q_1, q_2, q_3 \in M$. In the case where M is not a 1-dimensional Riemannian manifold, the globalization theorem implies that M is of curvature $\ge \kappa$ if and only if $\underline{\kappa} \ge \kappa$ on M. For a 1-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold M and for $\kappa > 0$, M is of curvature $\ge \kappa$ if and only if the diameter of M is $\le \pi/\sqrt{\kappa}$, i.e., M is isometric to either a segment of length $\le \pi/\sqrt{\kappa}$, or a circle of length $\le 2\pi/\sqrt{\kappa}$.

In this paper, we always assume that all Alexandrov spaces have finite Hausdorff dimension. Refer to [3, 24, 12] for the basics for the geometry and analysis on Alexandrov spaces, such as, the space of directions, the tangent cone, etc.

Let M be an Alexandrov space of Hausdorff dimension $n < +\infty$. Then, n coincides with the covering dimension of M, which is a nonnegative integer. Take any point $p \in M$ and fix it. Denote by $\Sigma_p M$ the space of directions at p, and by $K_p M$ the tangent cone at p. $\Sigma_p M$ is an $(n-1)$-dimensional compact Alexandrov space of curvature ≥ 1 and $K_p M$ an n-dimensional Alexandrov space of curvature ≥ 0.

Definition 2.1 (Singular Point, δ-Singular Point)**.** A point $p \in M$ is called a *singular point of M* if $\Sigma_p M$ is not isometric to the unit sphere S^{n-1}. For $\delta > 0$, we say that a point $p \in M$ is *δ-singular* if $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\Sigma_p M) \le \mathrm{vol}(S^{n-1}) - \delta$. Let us denote the set of singular points of M by S_M and the set of δ-singular points of M by S_δ.

Note that a point $p \in M$ is non-singular if and only if the tangent cone $K_p M$ is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^n$. We have $S_M = \bigcup_{\delta>0} S_\delta$. Since the map $M \ni p \mapsto \mathcal{H}^n(\Sigma_p M)$ is lower semi-continuous, the set S_δ of δ-singular points in M is a closed set. The following lemma is sometimes useful.

Lemma 2.2 ([30]). *Let γ be a minimal geodesic joining two points p and q in M. Then, the space of directions, $\Sigma_x M$, at all interior points of γ, $x \in \gamma \setminus \{p, q\}$, are isometric to each other. In particular, any minimal geodesic joining two non-singular* (*resp. non-δ-singular*) *points is contained in the set of non-singular* (*resp. non-δ-singular*) *points* (*for any $\delta > 0$*).

Definition 2.3 (Boundary). The boundary of an Alexandrov space M is defined inductively. If M is one-dimensional, then M is a complete Riemannian manifold and the *boundary of M* is defined as usual. Assume that M has dimension ≥ 2. A point $p \in M$ is said to be a *boundary point of M* if $\Sigma_p M$ has non-empty boundary.

Any boundary point of M is a singular point. More strongly, the boundary of M is contained in S_δ for a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$, which follows from the Morse theory in [25, 27].

The doubling theorem (§5 of [25]; 13.2 of [3]) states that if M has non-empty boundary, then the *double of M* (i.e., the gluing of two copies of M along their boundaries) is an Alexandrov space without boundary and each copy of M is convex in the double.

Denote by $\hat{S}_M$ (resp. $\hat{S}_\delta$) the set of singular (resp. δ-singular) points of $\mathrm{dbl}(M)$ contained in M, where M is identified with a copy in $\mathrm{dbl}(M)$. We agree that $\hat{S}_M = S_M$ and $\hat{S}_\delta = S_\delta$ provided M has no boundary.

The following shows the existence of differentiable and Riemannian structure on M.

Theorem 2.4. *For an n-dimensional Alexandrov space M, we have the following:*

1. *There exists a number $\delta_n > 0$ depending only on n such that $M^* := M \setminus \hat{S}_{\delta_n}$ is a manifold* (*with boundary ∂M^**) ([3, 25, 27]) *and has a natural C^∞ differentiable structure* (*even on the boundary ∂M^**) ([12]).
2. *The Hausdorff dimension of S_M is $\le n - 1$* ([3, 24]), *and that of $\hat{S}_M$ is $\le n - 2$* ([3]). *We have $S_M = \hat{S}_M \cup \partial M^*$.*
3. *We have a unique continuous Riemannian metric g on $M \setminus S_M \subset M^*$ such that the distance function induced from g coincides with the original one of M* ([24]). *The tangent space at*

each point in $M \setminus S_M$ is isometrically identified with the tangent cone ([24]). *The volume measure on M^* induced from g coincides with the n-dimensional Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}^n$* ([24]).

Remark 2.5. In [12] we construct a C^∞ structure only on $M \setminus B(S_{\delta_n}, \epsilon)$. However this is independent of ϵ and extends to M^*. The C^∞ structure is a refinement of the structures of [24, 23, 26] and is compatible with the DC structure of [26].

Note that the metric g is defined only on $M^* \setminus S_M$ and does not continuously extend to any other point of M. In general, the set of non-singular points, $M^* \setminus S_M$, is not a manifold. There is an example of an Alexandrov space M such that S_M is dense in M (see [24]).

Definition 2.6 (Cut-locus)**.** Let $p \in M$ be a point. We say that a point $x \in M$ is a *cut point of* p if no minimal geodesic from p contains x as an interior point. Here we agree that p is a cut point of p. The set of cut points of p is called the *cut-locus of* p and denoted by Cut_p.

Note that Cut_p is not necessarily a closed set. For the $W_{p,t}$ defined in §1, it follows that $\bigcup_{0<t<1} W_{p,t} = X \setminus \mathrm{Cut}_p$. The cut-locus Cut_p is a Borel subset and satisfies $\mathcal{H}^n(\mathrm{Cut}_p) = 0$ (Proposition 3.1 of [24]).

By Lemma 4.1 of [24], the function $r_p = d(p, \cdot)$ is differentiable on $M \setminus (S_M \cup \mathrm{Cut}_p)$. At any differentiable point x of r_p, $-\nabla r_p(x)$ is tangent to a unique minimal geodesic from p to x, where $\nabla r_p(x)$ denotes the gradient vector of r_p at x. This implies that the gradient vector field ∇r_p is continuous at all differentiable points of r_p.

Definition 2.7 (μ-Subharmonicity)**.** Let μ be a measure on M. A locally $W^{1,2}$ function $u : M \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *μ-subharmonic* if

$$\int_M \langle \nabla u, \nabla f \rangle \, d\mu \le 0$$

for any nonnegative C^∞ function $f : M^* \to \mathbb{R}$ with compact support in M^*.

Combining Theorems 3.1, 4.2 of [12] and the maximum principle due to the first named author (Theorem 1.3 of [11]), we have

Theorem 2.8 (Maximum Principle)**.** *Let $V : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function and let $d\mu(x) := e^{-V(x)}\, d\mathcal{H}^n(x)$ on M. If a continuous μ-subharmonic function $u : M \to \mathbb{R}$ attains its maximum in M, then u is constant on M.*

Remark 2.9. Since the Riemannian metric has only low regularity, the usual maximum principle cannot be applied to obtain Theorem 2.8.

3. LAPLACIAN COMPARISON THEOREM

The purpose of this section is to prove the following proposition. We set $\cot_\kappa(r) := s'_\kappa(r)/s_\kappa(r)$ for the function s_κ defined in §1.

Proposition 3.1 (Laplacian Comparison Theorem)**.** *Let M be an Alexandrov space, $V : M \to \mathbb{R}$ a continuous function, and $\Omega \subset M$ a subset. If the measure $d\mu(x) := e^{-V(x)}\, d\mathcal{H}^n(x)$ on M satisfies* $\mathrm{BG}(\kappa, N_\Omega)$ *on Ω for two real numbers $N_\Omega \geq 1$ and κ, then we have*

$$\int_M \langle \nabla r_p, \nabla f\rangle \, d\mu \geq \int_M \{-(N_\Omega - 1)\cot_\kappa \circ r_p\}\, f \, d\mu \tag{3.1}$$

for any point $p \in M$ and for any nonnegative Lipschitz function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ whose support is compact and contained in $\Omega \setminus \{p\}$.

We define

$$\Delta_\mu := \Delta + \nabla V = -e^V \operatorname{div}(e^{-V}\nabla\cdot),$$

where Δ is the nonnegative Laplacian and ∇V is the gradient vector field of V, considered to be a directional derivative. Note that (3.1) is a weak form of the formal inequality

$$\Delta_\mu r_p \geq -(N_\Omega - 1)\cot_\kappa \circ r_p$$

on $\Omega \setminus \{p\}$, and that $-(N_\Omega - 1)\cot_\kappa \circ r_p$ is the Laplacian of the distance function on an N_Ω-dimensional complete simply connected space form of constant curvature κ provided that N_Ω is an integral number with $N_\Omega \geq 2$.

Since for an n-dimensional Alexandrov space of curvature $\geq \kappa$ the n-dimensional Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}^n$ satisfies $\mathrm{BG}(\kappa, n)$ (see [13]), the above Laplacian Comparison Theorem (Proposition 3.1) leads us to the following.

Corollary 3.2. *If M is an n-dimensional Alexandrov space of curvature $\geq \kappa$, then for any $p \in M$ we have $\Delta r_p \geq -(n-1)\cot_\kappa \circ r_p$ on $M \setminus \{p\}$ in the weak sense.*

Since the Riemannian metric on an Alexandrov space is not continuous on any singular point, a standard proof of the Laplacian comparison theorem for Riemannian manifolds does not work. Renesse [38] proved Corollary 3.2 under some additional condition. In the case of $\mu = \mathcal{H}^n$ with $\mathrm{BG}(\kappa, n)$, another different proof using a version of Green formula can be seen in our previous paper [16].

We can in fact prove that Proposition 3.1 for any positive Radon measure μ on M with full support satisfying $\mathrm{BG}(\kappa, N_\Omega)$ on Ω by using some results by Cheeger [4] and Ranjbar-Motlagh [32]. However, such a stronger statement is not needed in this paper and we only give a proof of the version stated in Proposition 3.1.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Let $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be any nonnegative Lipschitz continuous function whose support is compact and contained in $\Omega \setminus \{p\}$. By the Rademacher theorem, f and r are differentiable $\mathcal{H}^n$-a.e. It follows from $t\, r_p(\Phi_{p,t}(x)) = r_p(x)$ that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Phi_{p,t}(x)\Big|_{t=1} = -r_p(x)\nabla r_p(x)$$

for $\mathcal{H}^n$-almost all $x \in M$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M \langle r_p \nabla r_p, \nabla f \rangle \, d\mu = -\int_M \langle \frac{d}{dt}\Phi_{p,t}(x)\Big|_{t=1}, \nabla f \rangle \, d\mu(x) \\
&= -\int_M \frac{d}{dt} f \circ \Phi_{p,t}(x)\Big|_{t=1} \, d\mu(x) \\
&= \lim_{t \to 1-0} \left[\int_M \frac{f \circ \Phi_{p,t}(x)}{1-t} \, d\mu(x) - \int_M \frac{f(x)}{1-t} \, d\mu(x) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

and by $\mathrm{BG}(\kappa, N_\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \liminf_{t \to 1-0} \Big[\int_M \frac{t\, s_\kappa(r_p(x))^{N_\Omega - 1} f(x)}{(1-t) s_\kappa(r_p(x)/t)^{N_\Omega - 1}} \, d\mu(x) - \int_M \frac{f(x)}{1-t} \, d\mu(x) \Big] \\
&\geq \int_M \liminf_{t \to 1-0} \frac{t\, s_\kappa(r_p(x))^{N_\Omega - 1} - s_\kappa(r_p(x)/t)^{N_\Omega - 1}}{(1-t) s_\kappa(r_p(x)/t)^{N_\Omega - 1}} f(x) \, d\mu(x) \\
&= \int_M \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{s_\kappa(r_p(x)/t)^{N_\Omega - 1}}{t} \right)\Big|_{t=1} \frac{f(x)}{s_\kappa(r_p(x))^{N_\Omega - 1}} \, d\mu(x) \\
&= \int_M \{-1 - (N_\Omega - 1) r_p(x) \cot_\kappa(r_p(x))\} f(x) \, d\mu(x)
\end{aligned}$$

By $\nabla(r_p f) = f \nabla r_p + r_p \nabla f$, we see that $\langle r_p \nabla r_p, \nabla f \rangle = \langle \nabla r_p, \nabla(r_p f) \rangle - f$ μ-a.e. and therefore,

(3.2)

$$\int_M \langle \nabla r_p, \nabla(r_p f) \rangle \, d\mu \geq \int_M \{-(N_\Omega - 1) \cot_\kappa(r_p(x))\} r_p(x) f(x) \, d\mu(x).$$

We now give any nonnegative Lipschitz continuous function $\hat{f} : M \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the support of $\hat{f}$ is compact and does not contain p. Set $f(x) := \hat{f}(x)/r_p(x)$ for $x \neq p$ and $f(p) := 0$. Then, $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Lipschitz continuous function and its support is compact and does not contain p. (3.2) implies (3.1) for $\hat{f}$. This completes the proof. $\square$

In our paper [12], we proved for an Alexandrov space M the existence of the heat kernel of M and the discreteness of the spectrum of the Laplacian (the generator of the Dirichlet energy form) on a relatively compact domain in M. As applications to Proposition 3.1, we have the following heat kernel and first eigenvalue comparison results, which generalize the results of Cheeger-Yau [7] and Cheng [8].

$B(p, r)$ denotes the metric ball centered at p and of radius r and $M^n(\kappa)$ an n-dimensional complete simply connected space form of curvature κ.

Corollary 3.3. *Let M be an n-dimensional Alexandrov space and assume that $(M, \mathcal{H}^n)$ satisfies* $\mathrm{BG}(\kappa, n)$. *Let $\Omega \subset M$ be an open subset containing $B(p, r)$ for a number $r > 0$. Denote by $h_t : \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, $t > 0$, the heat kernel on Ω with Dirichlet boundary condition, and by*

$\bar{h}_t : B(\bar{p}, r) \times B(\bar{p}, r) \to \mathbb{R}$ that on $B(\bar{p}, r)$ for a point $\bar{p} \in M^n(\kappa)$. Then, for any $t > 0$ and $q \in B(p, r)$ we have

$$h_t(p, q) \geq \bar{h}_t(\bar{p}, \bar{q}),$$

where $\bar{q} \in M^n(\kappa)$ is a point such that $d(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) = d(p, q)$.

Corollary 3.4. *Let M be an n-dimensional Alexandrov space and $r > 0$ a real number. Assume that $(M, \mathcal{H}^n)$ satisfies* $\mathrm{BG}(\kappa, n)$. *Denote by $\lambda_1(B(p, r))$ the first eigenvalue of Laplacian on $B(p, r)$ with Dirichlet boundary condition, and by $\lambda_1(B(\bar{p}, r))$ that on $B(\bar{p}, r)$ for a point $\bar{p} \in M^n(\kappa)$. Then we have*

$$\lambda_1(B(p, r)) \leq \lambda_1(B(\bar{p}, r)).$$

Once we have Proposition 3.1, the proofs of Corollaries 3.3 and 3.4 are the same as of Theorem II and Corollary 1 of Renesse's paper [38]. We verify that the local $(L^1, 1)$-volume regularity is not needed in the proof of Theorem II of [38]. We also obtain a Brownian motion comparison theorem in the same way as in [38].

4. SPLITTING THEOREM

We prove the Topological Splitting Theorem, 1.1, following the idea of Cheeger-Gromoll [6]. However, we still need some extra lemmas to fit the discussions of [6] to Alexandrov spaces.

Let M be a non-compact Alexandrov space and γ a *ray* in M, i.e., a geodesic defined on $[\,0, +\infty\,)$ such that $d(\gamma(s), \gamma(t)) = |s - t|$ for any $s, t \geq 0$.

Definition 4.1 (Busemann Function)**.** The *Busemann function $b_\gamma : M \to \mathbb{R}$ for γ* is defined by

$$b_\gamma(x) := \lim_{t \to +\infty} \{t - d(x, \gamma(t))\}, \quad x \in M.$$

It follows from the triangle inequality that $t - d(x, \gamma(t))$ is monotone non-decreasing in t, so that the limit above exists. b_γ is a 1-Lipschitz function.

Definition 4.2 (Asymptotic Relation)**.** We say that a ray σ in M is *asymptotic to γ* if there exist a sequence $t_i \to +\infty$, $i = 1, 2, \dots,$ and minimal geodesics $\sigma_i : [\,0, l_i\,] \to M$ with $\sigma_i(l_i) = \gamma(t_i)$ such that σ_i converges to σ as $i \to \infty$, (i.e., $\sigma_i(t) \to \sigma(t)$ for each t).

For any point in M, there is a ray asymptotic to γ from the point. Any subray of a ray asymptotic to γ is asymptotic to γ. By the same proof as for Riemannian manifolds (cf. Theorem 3.8.2(3) of [33]), for any ray σ asymptotic to γ we have

$$b_\gamma \circ \sigma(s) = s + b_\gamma \circ \sigma(0) \quad \text{for any } s \geq 0. \tag{4.1}$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a 1-Lipschitz function and $u, v \in \Sigma_p M$ two directions at a point $p \in M$. If the directional derivative of f to u is equal to 1 and that to v equal to -1, then the angle between u and v is equal to π.*

Proof. There are points $x_t, y_t \in M$, $t > 0$, such that $d(p, x_t) = d(p, y_t) = t$ for all $t > 0$ and that the direction at p of px_t (resp. py_t) converges to u (resp. v) as $t \to 0$. The assumption for f tells us that

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{f(x_t) - f(p)}{t} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{f(y_t) - f(p)}{t} = -1,$$

which imply

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{d(x_t, y_t)}{t} \geq \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{f(x_t) - f(y_t)}{t} = 2.$$

This completes the proof. □

Lemma 4.4. *Assume that a ray $\sigma : [\,0, +\infty\,) \to M$ is asymptotic to a ray $\gamma : [\,0, +\infty\,) \to M$, and let s be a given positive number.*

1. *If $\sigma(s)$ is a non-singular point, then b_γ is differentiable at $\sigma(s)$ and $\nabla b_\gamma(\sigma(s))$ is tangent to σ.*
2. *Among all rays emanating from $\sigma(s)$, only the subray $\sigma|_{[\,s,+\infty\,)}$ of σ is asymptotic to γ.*

Proof. (1) follows from the same discussion as for Riemannian manifolds (see Theorem 3.8.2 of [33]), in which we need the total differentiability of the distance function from a compact subset of M. This is obtained in the same way as in Theorem 3.5 and Lemma 4.1 of [24].

(2): Take any ray τ from $\sigma(s)$ asymptotic to γ. By (4.1), the derivative of $b_\gamma \circ \tau$ is equal to 1. Therefore, using Lemma 4.3 yields that the angle between $\sigma|_{[0,s]}$ and τ is equal to π, so that $\sigma'(s) = \tau'(0)$. This completes the proof. □

Note that if $\sigma(s)$ is a non-singular point, then Lemma 4.4(1) implies (2).

Lemma 4.5. *Let γ be a straight line in M. Denote by b_+ the Busemann function for $\gamma_+ := \gamma|_{[\,0,+\infty\,)}$ and by b_- that for $\gamma_- := \gamma|_{(\,-\infty,0]}$. If $b_+ + b_- \equiv 0$ holds, then M is covered by disjoint straight lines bi-asymptotic to γ. In particular, $b_+^{-1}(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ are homeomorphic to each other and M is homeomorphic to $b_+^{-1}(t) \times \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Take any point $p \in M$ and a ray $\sigma : [\,0, +\infty\,) \to M$ from p asymptotic to γ_+. For any $s > 0$, the directional derivatives of b_+ to the two opposite directions at $\sigma(s)$ tangent to σ are -1 and 1 respectively. Since $b_- = -b_+$ and by Lemma 4.3, a ray from $\sigma(s)$ asymptotic to γ_- is unique and contains $\sigma([\,0, s\,])$. By the arbitrariness of $s > 0$, σ extends to a straight line bi-asymptotic to γ. Namely, for a given point $p \in M$, we have a straight line σ_p passing through p and bi-asymptotic to γ. By Lemma 4.4(2), any ray from a point in σ_p asymptotic to $\gamma_\pm$ is a subray of σ_p. In particular, σ_p is unique (upto parameters) for a given p, and for any two points $p, q \in M$ the images of σ_p and σ_q either coincide or do not intersect to each other. M is covered by $\{\sigma_p\}_{p\in M}$ and this completes the proof. ☐

Lemma 4.6. *Let $V : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Assume that for any compact subset $\Omega \subset M$ there exists a number $N_\Omega \geq 1$ such that the measure $d\mu(x) := e^{-V(x)}\, d\mathcal{H}^n(x)$ satisfies* $\mathrm{BG}(0, N_\Omega)$ *on Ω. Then, the Busemann function b_γ for any ray γ in M is μ-subharmonic (see Definition 2.7 for the definition of μ-subharmonicity).*

Proof. We take a sequence $t_i \to +\infty$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Since $r_{\gamma(t_i)}$, b_γ are Lipschitz continuous, they are differentiable $\mathcal{H}^n$-a.e. by the Rademacher theorem. Let $x \in M$ be any non-singular point where $r_{\gamma(t_i)}$ and b_γ are all differentiable. We have a unique minimal geodesic $\sigma_{x,i}$ from x to $\gamma(t_i)$ and $-\nabla r_{\gamma(t_i)}(x)$ is tangent to it. A ray σ_x from x asymptotic to γ is unique and $\nabla b_\gamma(x)$ is tangent to it. Since $\sigma_{x,i} \to \sigma_x$ as $i \to \infty$, we have $-\nabla r_{\gamma(t_i)}(x) \to \nabla b_\gamma(x)$. Therefore, the dominated convergence theorem and Laplacian Comparison Theorem (Proposition 3.1) show that for any nonnegative Lipschitz continuous function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ with compact support, say Ω, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M \langle \nabla b_\gamma, \nabla f \rangle \, d\mu &= -\lim_{i\to\infty} \int_M \langle \nabla r_{\gamma(t_i)}, \nabla f \rangle \, d\mu \\ &\leq (N_\Omega - 1) \lim_{i\to\infty} \int_M \frac{f}{r_{\gamma(t_i)}} \, d\mu = 0.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. ☐

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Lemma 4.6, $b := b_+ + b_-$ is μ-subharmonic. It follows from the triangle inequality that $b \leq 0$. We have $b \circ \gamma \equiv 0$ by the definition of b. The maximum principle (Theorem 2.8) proves $b \equiv 0$. Lemma 4.5 implies the theorem. ☐

Proof of Corollary 1.3 and 1.4. Let M, N, and V be as in Corollary 1.4. In Corollary 1.3, we assume that $N = n$ and $V = 1$, in which case we have $\mathrm{Ric}_{N,\mu} = \mathrm{Ric}$ and $\Delta_\mu = \Delta + \nabla V = \Delta$. For the corollaries, it suffices to prove that if M contains a straight line, then M is isometric to $X \times \mathbb{R}$ and V is constant on $\{x\} \times \mathbb{R}$ for each $x \in X$. This is because if $X \times \mathbb{R}$ is a singular Riemannian space, then so is X.

We first assume that $N < +\infty$. Since any geodesic joining two points in $M \setminus S_M$ is contained in $M \setminus S_M$ (see Lemma 2.2), the condition $\mathrm{Ric}_{N,\mu} \geq 0$ on $M \setminus S_M$ implies $\mathrm{BG}(0, N)$ for μ on $M \setminus S_M$ (see [1] and also [35, 36, 19]). By $\mathcal{H}^n(S_M) = 0$, μ satisfies $\mathrm{BG}(0, N)$ on M (an easy discussion proves that for any convergent sequence $p_i \to p_\infty$ in M, $\mathrm{BG}(0, N)$ for $p = p_i$ implies $\mathrm{BG}(0, N)$ for $p = p_\infty$.) We then apply Theorem 1.1 to M and μ for $N_\Omega = N$. In the proof of the theorem, we obtain that b_+ and b_- are both μ-subharmonic and $b_+ + b_- = 0$. Therefore, $b_\pm$ is μ-harmonic, i.e., a weak solution of $\Delta_\mu b_\pm = 0$ on $M \setminus S_M$. By the regularity theorem of elliptic differential equations, b_+ is of C^2 on $M \setminus S_M$ and satisfies $\Delta_\mu b_+ = 0$ pointwise on $M \setminus S_M$. We use the generalized Weitzenböck formula for $\mathrm{Ric}_{N,\mu}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \Delta_\mu\Big(\frac{\|\nabla f\|^2}{2}\Big) + \langle \nabla \Delta_\mu f, \nabla f\rangle \\
&= \frac{(\Delta_\mu f)^2}{N} + \mathrm{Ric}_{N,\mu}(\nabla f, \nabla f) + \Big\|\mathrm{Hess}\, f + \frac{\Delta f}{n} I_n\Big\|_{HS}^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{n}{N(N-n)}\Big(-\frac{N-n}{n}\Delta f + \langle \nabla V, \nabla f\rangle\Big)^2
\end{aligned}$$

for any C^2 function $f : M \setminus S_M \to \mathbb{R}$ (see (14.46) of [37]), where I_n denotes the identity operator and $\|\cdot\|_{HS}$ the Hilbert-Schmidt norm. Since $\|\nabla b_+\| = 1$ and $\mathrm{Ric}_{N,\mu}(\nabla b_+, \nabla b_+) \geq 0$, putting $f := b_+$ in the above formula yields that $\mathrm{Hess}\, b_+ = -\frac{\Delta b_+}{n} I_n$ and $\frac{N-n}{n}\Delta b_+ = \langle \nabla V, \nabla b_+\rangle$. Since

$$0 = \Delta_\mu b_+ = \Delta b_+ + \langle \nabla V, \nabla b_+\rangle = \frac{N}{n}\Delta b_+,$$

we have $\mathrm{Hess}\, b_+ = 0$ and $\langle \nabla V, \nabla b_+\rangle = 0$ on $M \setminus S_M$. Thus, b_+ is a linear function along any geodesic in $M \setminus S_M$. Since any geodesic segments in M can be approximated by geodesic segments in $M \setminus S_M$, b_+ is linear along any geodesic in M. Since M is covered by straight lines bi-asymptotic to γ, b_+ is averaged D^2 in the sense of [20]. The isometric splitting follows from Theorem A of [20]. Since $\langle \nabla V, \nabla b_+\rangle = 0$ on $M \setminus S_M$, V is constant along each straight line bi-asymptotic to γ. This proves the corollaries in the case of $N < +\infty$.

We next consider the case where $N = +\infty$. By $\mathrm{Ric}_{\infty,\mu} \geq 0$, the same discussion as in [17], (1) of [9], and (2.21) of [40] leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_\mu r_p(x) &\geq -\frac{n-1}{r_p(x)} + \frac{2V(x)}{r_p(x)} - \frac{2}{r_p(x)^2}\int_0^{r_p(x)} V(\gamma(s))\, ds \\
&\geq -\frac{n - 1 + 2\sup_M V - 2V(x)}{r_p(x)}
\end{aligned}$$

for any $p \in M$ and $x \in M \setminus (S_M \cup \mathrm{Cut}_p)$, where γ is a unique unit speed geodesic joining p to x. Therefore, for a given compact subset $\Omega \subset M$, setting $N_\Omega := n + 2\sup_M V - 2\inf_\Omega V$, we have

$$\Delta_\mu r_p \geq -\frac{N_\Omega - 1}{r_p} \tag{4.2}$$

on $\Omega \setminus (S_M \cup \mathrm{Cut}_p)$, which together with a standard discussion (cf. the proof of Theorem 3.2 of [22]) yields $\mathrm{BG}(0, N_\Omega)$ on Ω for μ. By applying Theorem 1.1, M splits as $X \times \mathbb{R}$ homeomorphically. We have $\Delta_\mu b_+ = 0$

on $M \setminus S_M$. Apply the generalized Weitzenböck formula for $\mathrm{Ric}_{\infty,\mu}$:

$$-\Delta_\mu\Big(\frac{\|\nabla f\|^2}{2}\Big) + \langle \nabla \Delta_\mu f, \nabla f\rangle = \mathrm{Ric}_{\infty,\mu}(\nabla f, \nabla f) + \|\operatorname{Hess} f\|_{HS}^2.$$

By setting $f := b_+$, the left-hand side vanishes, so that by $\mathrm{Ric}_{\infty,\mu} \geq 0$ we have $\mathrm{Ric}_{\infty,\mu}(\nabla b_+, \nabla b_+) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Hess} b_+ = 0$ on $M \setminus S_M$. In the same way as in the case of $N < +\infty$, we obtain that M is isometric to $X \times \mathbb{R}$. Since $\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla b_+, \nabla b_+) = 0$, we have

$$0 = \mathrm{Ric}_{\infty,\mu}(\nabla b_+, \nabla b_+) = \operatorname{Hess} V(\nabla b_+, \nabla b_+) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} V$$

in the coordinate $(x,t) \in X \times \mathbb{R} = M$, so that V is linear along each line $\{x\} \times \mathbb{R}$, $x \in X$. Since V is bounded, it is constant along each line $\{x\} \times \mathbb{R}$, $x \in X$. □

Note that, in the case where $N = +\infty$, we can in fact prove the Laplacian comparison (3.1) directly from the pointwise comparison (4.2) by a little discussion without the infinitesimal Bishop-Gromov condition.

REFERENCES

1. D. Bakry and Z. Qian, *Volume comparison theorems without Jacobi fields*, Current trends in potential theory, Theta Ser. Adv. Math., vol. 4, Theta, Bucharest, 2005, pp. 115–122.
2. J. E. Borzellino and S.-H. Zhu, *The splitting theorem for orbifolds*, Illinois J. Math. **38** (1994), no. 4, 679–691.
3. Yu. Burago, M. Gromov, and G. Perel'man, *A. D. Aleksandrov spaces with curvatures bounded below*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **47** (1992), no. 2(284), 3–51, 222, translation in Russian Math. Surveys 47 (1992), no. 2, 1–58.
4. J. Cheeger, *Differentiability of Lipschitz functions on metric measure spaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **9** (1999), no. 3, 428–517.
5. J. Cheeger and T. H. Colding, *On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. I*, J. Differential Geom. **46** (1997), no. 3, 406–480.
6. J. Cheeger and D. Gromoll, *The splitting theorem for manifolds of nonnegative Ricci curvature*, J. Differential Geometry **6** (1971/72), 119–128.
7. J. Cheeger and S. T. Yau, *A lower bound for the heat kernel*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **34** (1981), no. 4, 465–480.
8. S. Y. Cheng, *Eigenvalue comparison theorems and its geometric applications*, Math. Z. **143** (1975), no. 3, 289–297.
9. F. Fang, X.-D. Li, and Z. Zhang, *Two generalizations of Cheeger-Gromall splitting theorem via Bakry-Emery Ricci curvature*, preprint.
10. K. Grove and P. Petersen V, *On the excess of the metric spaces and manifolds*, preprint.
11. K. Kuwae, *Maximum principles for subharmonic functions via local semi-Dirichlet forms*, Canadian J. Math. **60** (2008), no. 4, 822–874.
12. K. Kuwae, Y. Machigashira, and T. Shioya, *Sobolev spaces, Laplacian, and heat kernel on Alexandrov spaces*, Math. Z. **238** (2001), no. 2, 269–316.
13. K. Kuwae and T. Shioya, *Infinitesimal Bishop-Gromov condition for Alexandrov spaces*, preprint.

14. ______, *On generalized measure contraction property and energy functionals over Lipschitz maps*, Potential Anal. **15** (2001), no. 1-2, 105–121, ICPA98 (Hammamet).
15. ______, *Sobolev and Dirichlet spaces over maps between metric spaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **555** (2003), 39–75.
16. ______, *Laplacian comparison for Alexandrov spaces*, preprint, 2007.
17. A. Lichnerowicz, *Variétés riemanniennes à tenseur C non négatif*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **271** (1970), A650–A653.
18. J. Lott, *Some geometric properties of the Bakry-Émery-Ricci tensor*, Comment. Math. Helv. **78** (2003), no. 4, 865–883.
19. J. Lott and C. Villani, *Ricci curvature for metric-measure spaces via optimal transport*, to appear in Ann. Math., 2006.
20. Y. Mashiko, *A splitting theorem for Alexandrov spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **204** (2002), no. 2, 445–458.
21. A. D. Milka, *Metric structure of a certain class of spaces that contain straight lines*, Ukrain. Geometr. Sb. Vyp. **4** (1967), 43–48.
22. S. Ohta, *On the measure contraction property of metric measure spaces*, Comment. Math. Helv. **82** (2007), no. 4, 805–828.
23. Y. Otsu, *Almost everywhere existance of second differentiable structure of Alexandrov spaces*, preprint.
24. Y. Otsu and T. Shioya, *The Riemannian structure of Alexandrov spaces*, J. Differential Geom. **39** (1994), no. 3, 629–658.
25. G. Perelman, *A. D. Alexandrov's spaces with curvatures bounded from below II*, preprint.
26. ______, *DC-structure on Alexandrov space*, preprint.
27. ______, *Elements of Morse theory on Alexandrov spaces*, St. Petersburg Math. Jour. **5** (1994), no. 1, 207–214.
28. A. Petrunin, *Alexandrov meets Lott-Villani-Sturm*, preprint.
29. ______, *Subharmonic functions on Alexandrov space*, preprint.
30. ______, *Parallel transportation for Alexandrov space with curvature bounded below*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **8** (1998), no. 1, 123–148.
31. ______, *Harmonic functions on Alexandrov spaces and their applications*, Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (2003), 135–141 (electronic).
32. A. Ranjbar-Motlagh, *Poincaré inequality for abstract spaces*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **71** (2005), no. 2, 193–204.
33. K. Shiohama, T. Shioya, and M. Tanaka, *The geometry of total curvature on complete open surfaces*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 159, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2003.
34. K.-T. Sturm, *Diffusion processes and heat kernels on metric spaces*, Ann. Probab. **26** (1998), no. 1, 1–55.
35. ______, *On the geometry of metric measure spaces. I*, Acta Math. **196** (2006), no. 1, 65–131.
36. ______, *On the geometry of metric measure spaces. II*, Acta Math. **196** (2006), no. 1, 133–177.
37. C. Villani, *Optimal transport, old and new*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 338, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009.
38. M.-K. von Renesse, *Heat kernel comparison on Alexandrov spaces with curvature bounded below*, Potential Anal. **21** (2004), no. 2, 151–176.
39. M. Watanabe, *Local cut points and metric measure spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below*, Pacific J. Math. **233** (2007), no. 1, 229–256.

40. G. Wei and W. Wylie, *Comparison geometry for the Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor*, preprint.
41. T. Yamaguchi, *Collapsing and pinching under a lower curvature bound*, Ann. of Math. (2) **133** (1991), 317–357.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND ENGINEERING, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, KUMAMOTO UNIVERSITY, KUMAMOTO, 860-8555, JAPAN
E-mail address: `kuwae@gpo.kumamoto-u.ac.jp`

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY, SENDAI 980-8578, JAPAN
E-mail address: `shioya@math.tohoku.ac.jp`